

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/960,078	Applicant(s) LEMAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner Geoffrey L. Knable	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

(2) Howard Cohn.
Status of Application: rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
rejections of record

Claims discussed:
all


Prior art documents discussed:
Black et al. (US 3,389,032)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated to applicant's representative that the arguments in the 7-14-2004 amendment with respect to Black et al. were referencing the wrong part of the reference system and that it was the drums on the carriers "A" that were being referred to. The examiner further proposed canceling claims 2, 4-7 and 25-29 in order to place the application into condition for allowance on the remaining claims. No agreement was reached.